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Abstract

Objective: To analyze the literature on wideband immittance testing and to evaluate the success of these procedures in evaluating middle ear function in newborns.

Methods: A systematic literature review was conducted using as keywords: wideband, tympanometry, reflectance, absorbance, immittance. Papers which did not address neonates were excluded from the review. From each article specific information was extracted referring to the following: sample size, population characteristics, equipment, stimulus type, study evaluation, and study conclusion.

Conclusions: This systematic review considers that wideband immittance is a promising way for evaluating middle ear function in neonates. It is necessary to establish regulatory standards for different age groups of neonates and infants.
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IMPEDANCIOMETRÍA DE BANDA ANCHA EN NEONATOS: UNA REVISIÓN BIBLIOGRÁFICA

Resumen

Objetivo: Revisión bibliográfica de los estudios científicos relativos a la impedanciometría de banda ancha y evaluación de la eficacia de dicho método en el diagnóstico de las funciones del oído medio en bebés.

Métodos e instrumentos de investigación: Los temas principales de la presente revisión de trabajos científicos han sido: la timpanometría de banda ancha, la reflectancia, la absorbancia, la inmutancia. En ella, se han contemplado tan solo las publicaciones relativas a los neonatos, y en concreto los datos relativos a: el tamaño de la muestra, las características de la población, las condiciones del equipo, el tipo del estímulo, la valoración del estudio y de las conclusiones del mismo.

Conclusiones: La presente revisión bibliográfica ha demostrado que la impedanciometría de banda ancha constituye un método prometedor en la evaluación de las funciones del oído medio en neonatos. Es imprescindible elaborar estándares normalizados de prueba para neonatos y bebés de diferentes categorías de edad.

Palabras clave: bebés • oído medio • absorbancia • reflectancia • timpanometría de banda ancha

ШИРОКОПОЛОСНАЯ ИМПЕДАНСНАЯ АУДИОМЕТРИЯ У НОВОРОЖДЕННЫХ: ОБЗОР ЛИТЕРАТУРЫ

Изложение

Цель: Анализ литературы предмета в области исследования широкополосной импедансной аудиометрии, а также оценка эффективности данного метода в диагностике функций среднего уха у новорождённых.

Исследовательские методы и инструменты: Основными темами настоящего обзора литературы были: широкополосная тимпанометрия, отражающая способность, экстинкция (поглощающая способность), иммитанс. В него были включены только публикации о новорождённых, касающиеся размера испытания, характеристики популяции, технической базы, типа импульса, оценки исследования и выводов, следующих из него.
Introduction

A basic prerequisite for the proper development of language is the integrity of the auditory system [1], and so there is a strong correlation between auditory and language skills. This interdependence between hearing and language means it is important to have an effective diagnostic program and to intervene early when hearing loss is detected. Newborn hearing screening is therefore crucial [1,2].

In general, newborn hearing screening protocols are a combination of electroacoustic and electrophysiological procedures. In newborns without risk indicators for hearing loss, the option is usually to carry out transient otoacoustic emission (TEOAE) testing, while in neonates with risk indicators for hearing loss the usual choice is an automated auditory brainstem response (AABR) test [3,4]. Neonatal hearing screening is usually performed in two steps, a test and a retest, which aims to reduce possible technical problems and excessive ‘refer’ outcomes [5].

Outcomes in neonatal hearing screening programs will always include some false positives (i.e., normal hearing infants evaluated as hearing impaired). The majority of these cases involve conditions which impair the function of the middle ear, observed as an impedance anomaly [5,6]. In neonates, the space occupied by the mastoid and the middle ear is smaller, the tympanic membrane is thinner and more horizontal, and the external auditory canal is less rigid (with the likelihood of it collapsing more easily) [7,8]. Due to the possible presence of amniotic fluid in the middle ear or in the external auditory canal, temporary alterations of hearing are often observed [8,9]. These alterations increase the mass, stiffness, and resistance of the eardrum-ossicular system and consequently alter middle ear impedance and the efficiency of sound conduction.

One way to assess middle ear status and functionality is by impedance tympanometry. When tympanometry is performed in newborns, the standard 226 Hz probe tone becomes a less sensitive test for identifying middle ear disorders [3,10]. It is possible to overcome the limitations of the 226 Hz tone by performing tympanometry with a 1 kHz probe. This procedure, which has been recommended for infants younger than 4 months by the American Academy of Pediatrics Joint Committee on Infant Hearing [3], is considered more sensitive in identifying middle ear disorders [7,11,12]. Nevertheless, several studies have questioned the real effectiveness of 1 kHz tympanometry in infants [13], so at present there is no consensus on a gold standard for infant middle ear diagnosis.

Middle ear wideband tympanometry immittance (WBT) has been developed as a potentially more accurate procedure for identifying middle ear disorders. It offers information on the functional status of the middle ear at test frequencies from 226 to 8000 Hz [14–17]. Specific terms are used in WBT to describe the reflectance or absorbance of the acoustic stimuli: acoustic reflectance is the stimulus power reflected by the tympanic membrane, while acoustic absorbance is the amount of energy absorbed by the middle ear [18,19]. Both measures are frequency-dependent. WBT has the potential to offer a better description of middle ear function, perhaps becoming the missing gold standard in the diagnosis of middle ear disorders.

Neonatal hearing screening is a key step in the audiological diagnosis of infants [1]. The use of reliable tests with reproducible results is extremely important. Evaluation by WBT seems to have an important role in hearing screening, and can provide accurate information about the functioning of the middle ear. The objective of this study was...
Methods

This study was a systematic literature review on articles published in journals indexed in the following databases: US National Library of Medicine, National Institutes of Health (PubMed); Scientific Electronic Library Online (Scielo); Latin American and Caribbean Health Sciences (Lilacs); Elsevier’s search engine tool (Scopus); and the ISI Web of Science. The descriptors were restricted to English, according to the following medical subject headings (MeSH): wideband, tympanometry, reflectance, absorbance, and immittance. The study was conducted independently by the two authors and any disagreements were resolved through discussion. For the selection of suitable studies the following inclusion criteria were used.

1. Articles published within the last 10 years (2006–16). This specific time-frame was used because WBT technology was only introduced 10 years ago.
2. Original articles.
3. Articles based on samples of healthy babies, neonatal intensive care unit (NICU) infants, or infants in general.

Exclusion criteria consisted of: 1) experiments on animals; 2) adult studies; 3) case studies; 4) literature review or editorials; 5) articles not published in English.

From each article, specific information was extracted on: sample size; population characteristics; equipment; stimulus type; study evaluation; and study conclusion.

Results

Searches of the electronic databases resulted in 299 studies. Excluded items occurred in three types: (a) duplicates, summaries including experimental animal or adults studies, unpublished articles in English or Portuguese and case study format or literature review (n=128), (b) after reading title and abstract (n=52); and (c) complete reading the article (n=9). After this process, 9 articles were included for this review. A chart of the article selection process is shown in Figure 1. Table 1 (data on absorbance) and Table 2 (data on reflectance) show the data collected for each category.

Discussion

Since changes in middle ear function affect the conduction of sound to other parts of the auditory system, accurately evaluating the state of the middle ear is important for making reliable audiological diagnoses in neonates. Measuring immittance can be done using a number of procedures, including reflectance, absorbance, tympanometry, and the acoustic reflex.

In this systematic review, six studies assessed absorbance in neonates [13,20–24] using the Titan equipment (Interacoustics, Denmark). The remaining three [20–22] evaluated the reflectance in newborns through the MEPA equipment from Mimosa Acoustics.

Table 1. Description of absorbance related studies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Article</th>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Sample characterization</th>
<th>Equipment</th>
<th>Stimulus type</th>
<th>Evaluation</th>
<th>Conclusion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sanford et al., 2009</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>455 infant ears</td>
<td>Reflwin computerized system and controller system contained in acoustic immittance instrument (AT 235)</td>
<td>Click</td>
<td>DPOAE UNHS</td>
<td>Results showed that: 1) WB tests had better performance in classifying UNHS DPOAE outcomes than 1-kHz tympanometry; 2) WB tests provide data suggesting that many UNHS referrals are a consequence of transient conditions affecting the sound-conduction pathway; 3) WB data reveal changes in sound conduction during the first 2 days of life; 4) WB measurements used in the present study are objective and quick, making these tests feasible for potential use in conjunction with UNHS programs</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Records excluded N=171
Records after duplicates removed N=128
After reading of title and abstract N=52
Records excluded N=76
Records excluded N=43

Records excluded N=76
Records excluded N=43

Figure 1. Flowchart of the article selection process to evaluate information on WBT in the literature and assess how well the technique can be applied in the clinic to newborns.
Table 1 continued. Description of absorbance related studies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Article</th>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Sample characterization</th>
<th>Equipment</th>
<th>Stimulus type</th>
<th>Evaluation</th>
<th>Conclusion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aithal et al., 2013</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>66 infants</td>
<td>mean age = 46.0 h (SD 21.0; range 13.3–116.5 h)</td>
<td>Reflwin computerized system and controller system contained in acoustic immittance instrument (AT 235)</td>
<td>Click</td>
<td>HFT acoustic stapled reflex TEOAE PDOAE</td>
<td>The normative reflectance data in the present study were in agreement with, but marginally smaller than, those of previous normative studies, except for the study of Keefe et al. (2000). Whereas the use of a test battery approach to ensure normal middle ear function in neonates has resulted in slightly reduced reflectance across most frequencies (compared to studies that have used only otoacoustic emissions), further research is needed to accurately determine the middle ear status of neonates using test performance measures</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aithal et al., 2014</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>35 newborns (35 ears)</td>
<td>AN: mean 51.9 h (SD 18.2; range 22–86 h)</td>
<td>Reflwin computerized system and controller system contained in acoustic immittance instrument (AT 235)</td>
<td>Click</td>
<td>HFT DPOAE</td>
<td>Developmental effects of WBA were evident for infants during the first 6 mo of life. The WBA data can be used as a reference for detecting disorders in sound-conductive pathways (outer and middle ear) in young infants. Further development of age-specific normative WBA data in young infants is warranted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aithal et al., 2014</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>59 ears: 32 Aboriginal neonates (AN); 281 ears: 158 Caucasian neonates (CN)</td>
<td>AN: mean 51.9 h (SD 18.2; range 22–86 h)</td>
<td>Reflwin computerized system and controller system contained in acoustic immittance instrument (AT 235)</td>
<td>Click</td>
<td>HFT DPOAE</td>
<td>This study provided convincing evidence that Aboriginal neonates had significantly lower WBA values than their Caucasian counterparts, although both groups had equal pass rates (as determined by the test battery). Although the two ethnic groups showed significant differences in WBA, the factors contributing to such differences remain undetermined. Further research is warranted to determine the factors that might account for the difference in WBA between the two ethnic groups</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aithal et al., 2015</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>192 healthy neonates (108 males)</td>
<td>43.7 h (SD 21.3, range 8.3–152.2 h)</td>
<td>Reflwin computerized system and controller system contained in acoustic immittance instrument (AT 235)</td>
<td>Click</td>
<td>TEOAE, DPOAE, HFT AABR</td>
<td>The test performance of WBA against test battery reference standards was superior to that against single test reference standards. Valid test of conductive conditions, WBA can be used in both screening and diagnostic evaluations in neonates.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hunter et al., 2015</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>182 infants who passed hearing screening (54% males)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Titan</td>
<td>Click</td>
<td>NHS TEOAE AABR</td>
<td>Separate normative references are recommended for clinical application for birth, 1 month, and 6–15 months. These normative data are expected to be useful in comparisons with similar measurements in infant ears with confirmed conductive and sensorineural hearing loss.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Measurement of absorbance involves ascertaining the amount of energy that enters the ear canal, passes through the tympanic membrane, and reaches the middle ear. In contrast, reflectance is a measure of the amount of power that is reflected by the tympanic membrane. Both measures need to be standardized for a newborn population. The bones and cartilage making up the ear canal of neonates is less rigid than in adults. The result is that high frequency acoustic measurements are less affected by the ear canal and better acoustic reflectance and mechanical tympanic membrane and the middle ear [23,24].

Studies on absorbance show great variability in the collected data. Only three studies described the sample being studied in detail. One study evaluated 192 neonates (average age 43.7 h, mean gestational age 39.2 weeks, mean birth weight 3476.9 g) [24]. In another study 66 infants, mean age 46 h, were examined [25]. A third study evaluated 32 Aboriginal neonates (mean age 51.9 h) and 158 Caucasian neonates (mean age 42.4 h) [26]. The other reported studies used sample sizes ranging from 35 to 455 newborn infants’ ears. The difference in the presentation of data makes it difficult to compare results, since wideband immittance is affected by the age of the neonate. Again, assessments made close to the time of birth can be influenced by the presence of fluid in the external auditory meatus [23,27].

Significant modifications in wideband absorbance occur during the first 6 months of life because of changes in the external and middle ear. In the first month of life, immaturity means lower absorbance at low frequencies and a significant increase at high frequencies. After this period, the values seem be equivalent to the responses of adults. Another commonly observed feature is the presence of multiple peaks around 0–2 months, while around 4–6 months a single peak is found. Given these differences it is essential that normative studies are developed for different age groups, especially for infants of 0–6 months. A related measure that should be considered is gestational age [23,27].

Studies of wideband reflectance have observed that in neonates there is high reflectance at low frequencies and lower values at 6000 Hz. In contrast, in the adult population there is a low reflectance at 4000 Hz and an increase at 6000 Hz, a difference which can be explained by size of the ear canal [20–22].

The studies selected here were confined to articles that only used wideband stimuli to assess the middle ear. Studies of reflectance used a chirp or a pure tone, while studies evaluating absorbance used a click. There are no studies in the literature comparing the difference between chirps and clicks. It has been found, however, that in noisy environments results with pure tones are better [20].

**Conclusions**

This systematic review observed that wideband immittance is a promising way for evaluating middle ear function in neonates. WBT should be included in newborn hearing screening as it is a fast and objective procedure. This paper highlights the need to establish regulatory standards for different age groups of neonates and infants.
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