A REVIEW OF THE CLINICAL APPLICABILITY OF SPEECH-EVOKED AUDITORY BRAINSTEM RESPONSES

Milaine Dominici Sanfins, Maria Francisca Colella-Santos

Medical Sciences College, University of Campinas, Campinas, SP, Brazil

Corresponding author: Milaine Dominici Sanfins, Rua Visconde de Cachoeira, 33–apto 72, Vila Nova Conceição, São Paulo-SP, 04512-030, Brazil, e-mail: msanfins@uol.com.br

Abstract

Through a systematic literature review, this paper evaluates the clinical applicability of speech-evoked auditory brainstem responses (ABRs). The survey was done on five databases, with the following key words: speech ABR; ABR-speech; speech auditory brainstem response; auditory evoked potential to speech; speech-evoked brainstem response; complex sounds; and cABR. The search generated a list of 1288 items published between 2005 and 2015. After applying pre-established criteria of inclusion and exclusion, 21 publications remained. The collected data show that: (i) research on speech ABR has been done on diverse age groups, although teenagers and adolescents have been less studied; (ii) the speech ABR procedure has been shown to be a reliable and effective tool in evaluating the coding of speech sounds in the brainstem, and can also be applied to young children; and (iii) speech ABR has been shown to be effective in the differential diagnosis of diseases with similar features and symptoms.
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DESCRIPCIÓN CLÍNICA GENERAL DE LAS APLICACIONES AUDITIVAS DE LOS POTENCIALES DEL TRONCO CEREBRAL EVOCADO POR EL HABLA

Resumen

Este estudio evalúa la aplicación clínica de los potenciales auditivos del tronco cerebral evocados por el habla (ABRs) a través de una revisión sistemática de la literatura. La revisión se realizó en cinco bases de datos con palabras clave en inglés en diversas formas que indicaban los potenciales auditivos evocados por habla: speech ABR, ABR-speech, speech auditory brainstem response; auditory evoked potential to speech; speech-evoked brainstem response, así como sonidos complejos (complex sounds) y cABR. El buscador encontró una lista de 1288 artículos publicados entre 2005 y 2015. Después de aplicar criterios preestablecidos de inclusión y exclusión quedaron 21 artículos. Los datos recogidos muestran que (i) el estudio de potenciales auditivos evocados por el habla se realizan en diferentes grupos de edad de los pacientes, a pesar de que los adolescentes y los adultos se examinan menos, (ii) el estudio de potenciales auditivos evocados por el habla es una herramienta fiable y eficaz para evaluar la codificación de los sonidos del habla en el tronco del cerebro y se puede realizar en niños pequeños, (iii) el estudio de los potenciales auditivos evocados por el habla es una herramienta eficaz para el diagnóstico diferencial de enfermedades con características y síntomas similares.

Palabras clave: potenciales auditivos del tronco cerebral • el habla • potenciales auditivos evocados por el habla • procesamiento auditivo central

ОБЗОР КЛИНИЧЕСКИХ ПРИМЕНЕНИЙ СЛУХОВЫХ СТВОЛОМОЗГОВЫХ ПОТЕНЦИАЛОВ, ВЫЗВАННЫХ РЕЧЬЮ

Изложение

Настоящая работа оценивает клиническое применение слуховых стволомозговых потенциалов, вызванных речью (ABRs) путем систематического обзора литературы. Обзор был произведен в пяти базах данных с ключевыми словами на английском языке в разной форме, означающими слуховые потенциалы, вызванные речью: speech ABR, ABR-speech, speech auditory brainstem response; auditory evoked potential to speech; speech-evoked brainstem response, а также сложные звуки (complex sounds) и cABR. Поисковая система нашла список 1288 пунктов, из данных между 2005 и 2015 годом. После применения заранее установленных критериев отбора и исключения, остался 21 пункт. Собранные данные показывают, что (I) исследования слуховых потенциалов, вызванных речью, проводятся у пациентов разных возрастных групп, хотя люди юношеского возраста и взрослые обследованы реже, (II) исследование слуховых потенциалов, вызванных речью, является тщательным и эффективным.
**Background**

The auditory brainstem response (ABR) provides diagnostic information about the pathway from the auditory periphery to the brainstem, and is routinely used in the clinic to assess hearing function. Traditionally, ABR responses are evoked by transient non-verbal stimuli. ABR is used to assess the integrity of auditory pathways, enabling basic neural abnormalities to be identified and helping to evaluate patients who failed to provide reliable answers in behavioral audiological evaluations [1]. Recent technological developments [2] have proposed a new ABR stimulus paradigm consisting of verbal stimuli. Such a stimulus modality can help in understanding how a speech stimulus is processed in the brainstem.

Speech is characterized by three main components: pitch, formants, and timing of acoustic landmarks. Any difficulty in processing these aspects will lead to difficulties in processing auditory information and the perception of speech sounds, both of which can be used to diagnose oral or written language disorders [3]. The brainstem plays an essential role in the mechanism of speech perception, as in reading or the acquisition of phonological information [4–6].

Speech-evoked ABRs (speech ABRs) have been carried out using different verbal stimuli. The best-known is elicited by the synthesized syllable /da/, provided by a computer. The creator of this stimulus is Dr Nina Kraus of Northwestern University. The stimulus consists of the consonant /d/ (the transient portion or onset) and the short vowel /a/ (the sustained portion or frequency following response). The ABR elicited by this stimulus is a complex wave consisting of seven peaks: V, A, C, D, E, F, and O. The only wave with a positive peak is the complex wave V. The V and A waves reflect highly synchronized neural responses to the onset of the stimulus. The C wave represents the transition between consonant and vowel, whereas the O wave represents the end of the vowel [7–9]. A typical speech ABR response is depicted in Figure 1.

Recent research has highlighted the role and clinical applicability of speech ABR. The results have shown that it is an objective, fast, and effective procedure. It does not require the patient's conscious participation and provides data on neural maturation and the central auditory neural system (CANS). Therefore, speech ABR can function as a biomarker for numerous conditions including scholastic difficulties [9], psychosis [10], learning disabilities [11], and auditory processing [8].

The aim of this paper is to present an update on the clinical availability of speech ABR protocols through a systematic review of the literature over the last 10 years.

**Materials and methods**

The selected articles for this review were published in journals indexed in the following databases: (i) US National Library of Medicine and National Institute of Health (PubMed); (ii) Scientific Electronic Library Online (Scielo); (iii) Latin American and Caribbean Health Sciences (Lilacs); (iv) Scopus; and (v) ISI Web of Science. The descriptors were restricted to the English language, according to the Medical Subject Headings (MeSH). The following terms were searched: speech ABR, ABR-speech, speech auditory brainstem response, auditory evoked potential to speech, speech-evoked brainstem response, complex sounds, and cABR.
The review was conducted by the two authors independently and disagreements were resolved through discussion. For selection of the papers the following inclusion criteria were used: (i) articles published in the last 10 years (2005–15); (ii) original articles only. The exclusion criteria consisted of: (i) animal experiments; (ii) case studies; (iii) literature reviews; (iv) articles not in English.

The information gathered from papers passing the inclusion criteria consisted of the following data: (i) objectives of the studies; (ii) characterization of the sample (i.e. number of subjects, age, gender, and native language); (iii) disorders studied; (iv) preliminary and final evaluations; and (v) final conclusions and future suggestions.

Results

The initial search resulted in a pool of 1288 papers related to speech ABR. Papers were excluded from the pool for the following reasons: duplicate/similar studies from the same research groups (n=887); (b) experimental animal studies; unpublished articles in English; case studies; literature reviews (n=377); and (c) after an evaluation of the paper by the two reviewers (n=3). A total number of 21 articles were used for this review.

The flowchart of the article selection process is shown in Figure 2. Table 1 shows in schematical form the data collected from the included papers.

Discussion

The sampled subjects included children, adolescents, young adults, and older adults for a total of 1238 subjects, with an age span of 5–80 years. The number of individuals included in each study ranged from 4 to 235. As a relatively new diagnostic tool, it was possible to identify that young adults and/or adults were the most studied (66.6% of articles). The main reason for this age-selection was probably the better consistency of the speech-ABR response in adults due to maturation. Data collection is also easier in these cases, since adults better understand the necessary clinical requirements. In contrast, publications with data from children and teenagers are more scarce (14.3% of publications). More normative studies are needed in children and adolescents in order to establish a normal reference range so that more accurate diagnosis and monitoring of auditory rehabilitation can be done.

In 14 articles (66.6%), only healthy individuals with normal development were evaluated. In 7 articles (33.3%), subjects with various disorders were studied and compared with normal subjects via Control-CG waves. The studied disorders included: auditory processing disorder and specific language impairment [14,15]; learning disabilities; dyslexia; epilepsy; persistent developmental stuttering, and hearing loss [12,13,16–18]. In addition, other aspects of a subject’s clinical history were assessed. In the study of Hornichel et al. [19], a comparative analysis was carried out on groups of children whose parents had a proven history of reading problems. The data showed that speech ABR can predict the risk of these children having reading difficulties.
Table 1. Summary of articles on speech ABR

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Article No.</th>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Age range (mean ± sd)</th>
<th>Native language</th>
<th>Preliminary evaluation</th>
<th>Complementary evaluation</th>
<th>Conclusions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bellier et al., 2015</td>
<td>SG: 4 healthy individuals (3 female)</td>
<td>SG: 22–25 yr</td>
<td>French</td>
<td>PTA</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>The results open new perspectives for improving the adaptation of hearing aids using the extensive information provided by this electrophysiological marker</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fujihira et al., 2015</td>
<td>SG: 30 healthy female individuals</td>
<td>SG: 61–73 yr (66.9±3.4)</td>
<td>Japanese</td>
<td>PTA</td>
<td>WIP test</td>
<td>The speech intelligibility in reverberation in elderly listeners is related to its ability to encode the temporal aspects of speech</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mamo et al., 2015</td>
<td>SG 1: 22 healthy young adults (17 female) SG 2: 22 healthy older adults (15 female)</td>
<td>SG 1: 18–30 yr (23.2±3.2) SG 2: 65–80 yr (70.5±4.8)</td>
<td>English</td>
<td>PTA</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>The elderly have reduced neural synchrony for encoding periodic signals, the complex of the brainstem. The reduced sync can be modeled by simulating jitter by interrupting the stimulus waveform</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ahadi et al., 2014</td>
<td>SG: 48 healthy young individuals (25 female)</td>
<td>SG: 20–28 yr (22.77±2.05)</td>
<td>Persian</td>
<td>PTA and immittance</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>The evoked potentials of the response time are not related to the stimulus presentation mode. Binaural stimulation produces more robust responses. The lateral asymmetry in the representation of speech elements is not significant in the brainstem</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elkabariti et al., 2014</td>
<td>SG: 38 individuals with recent diagnosed epilepsy (16 female) CG: 38 healthy individuals (16 female)</td>
<td>SG: 5–15 yr (9.1±3.1) CG: 5–15 yr (9.2±3)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>PTA, SA, immittance, and questionnaire</td>
<td>ABR (click)</td>
<td>The abnormal response can be detected only with the speech stimulus and not the click stimulus from the epileptic group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rocha-Muniz et al., 2014</td>
<td>SG 1: 25 individuals with APD (7 female) SG 2: 25 individuals with SLI (7 female) CG: 25 individuals with TD (13 female)</td>
<td>SG 1: 6–12 yr (8.72) SG 2: 6–12 yr (7.84)</td>
<td>Brazilian Portuguese</td>
<td>PTA, SA, and tympanometry. SG 1: parents and classroom teacher reports, AP test SG 2: Leonard’s diagnosis, ABFW Child Language Test, MLU, TELD-3, and RAVEN test CG: AP test</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>The values obtained were similar to English speakers. The speech ABR is useful to identify auditory processing disorders and language deficits. ABR suggests that the speech can be used clinically for the assessment of central auditory function and provide additional information in language disorders and diagnosis of hearing processing deficits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tahaei et al., 2014</td>
<td>SG: 25 individuals with PDS (4 female) CG: 25 healthy individuals (4 female)</td>
<td>SG: 16–35 yr (24.48±3.99) CG: 16–35 yr (22.44±2.32)</td>
<td>Persian</td>
<td>ABR (click), PTA, SSI-3, and Edinburgh handedness questionnaire</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>The SG shows a poor neural synchronization in the early stages of the auditory pathway with temporal processing deficits. This difficulty can be the basis of a presented disfluency.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anderson et al., 2013</td>
<td>SG: 111 individuals (64 female) with hearing levels ranging from normal hearing to moderate hearing loss</td>
<td>SG: 45–78 yr (61.1)</td>
<td>English</td>
<td>PTA, ABR (click), IQ scores from WASI, and MoCA</td>
<td>SSQ hearing performance and QuickSIN</td>
<td>The results demonstrate a possible link between the offset of the delay, reduced morphology, and speech perception in noise</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Article</td>
<td>No.</td>
<td>Sample</td>
<td>Age range (mean ± sd)</td>
<td>Native language</td>
<td>Preliminary evaluation</td>
<td>Complementary evaluation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinard et al., 2013</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>SG: 34 individuals (30 female), right-handed, NH, no otological or neurological disorders</td>
<td>SG: 22–77 yr</td>
<td>English</td>
<td>PTA and immittance</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hornickel et al., 2012</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>SG session year 1: 26 individuals with TD (12 female)</td>
<td>SG 1: 8–13 yr (10.5)</td>
<td>English</td>
<td>PTA, IQ scores from WASI, ABR (click), and TOWRE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kouni et al., 2013</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>SG 1: 10 individuals with dyslexia</td>
<td>SG 1 + SG 2: 12 female</td>
<td>Greek</td>
<td>PTA, IQ scores from WAIS-IV, ABR (click), and tympanometry</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hornickel et al., 2013</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>SG: 113 individuals (30 female), NH. Divided into four groups based on their siblingship, reading abilities, age and sex</td>
<td>6.5–14.10 yr (11.3)</td>
<td>English</td>
<td>PTA, PEATE (click), IQ score from WAIS, TOWRE, and TOSWRF</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rocha-Muniz et al., 2012</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>SG 1: 18 individuals with APD (4 female)</td>
<td>SG 1: 6–12 yr (10.00 ±22.34 months)</td>
<td>Brazilian Portuguese</td>
<td>PTA, SA, and tympanometry, SG 1: parents and classroom teacher reports, AP test SG 2: Leonard’s diagnosis, ABFW, MLU, TELD-3, and RAVEN test CG: AP test</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rana et al., 2011</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>SG: 35 healthy individuals</td>
<td>SG: 18-23 yr</td>
<td>Indian</td>
<td>PTA, immittance, and normal auditory processing (speech in noise test)</td>
<td>TEOAE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Song et al., 2011</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>GS 1: 31 individuals (20 female) tested with the 170 ms /da/ in quiet and background noise. Mean time between Tests 1 and 2 was 58 (±33) days. GS 2: 45 healthy individuals (29 female) tested with the 40 ms /da/. Mean time between Tests 1 and 2 was 41 (±34) days</td>
<td>GS 1: 19–31 yr (23.5±3.0)</td>
<td>English</td>
<td>IQ score from WAIS, TONI-3, PTA, ABR (click)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Article</td>
<td>No.</td>
<td>Sample</td>
<td>Age range (mean ± sd)</td>
<td>Native language</td>
<td>Preliminary evaluation</td>
<td>Complementary evaluation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Karawani et al., 2010</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>SG: 20 healthy individuals Arabic speakers, SG: 14 healthy individuals Hebrew speakers</td>
<td>SG: 18–28 yr (23.5±1.67), SG2: 18–28 yr (23.5±1.67)</td>
<td>Hebrew and Arabic</td>
<td>PTA, information concerning language background and musical experience</td>
<td>ABR (click)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rocha et al., 2010</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>SG: 50 healthy individuals (28 female)</td>
<td>SG: 19–32 yr (23.56±3.13)</td>
<td>Brazilian Portuguese</td>
<td>PTA, SA, tympanometry, and AP test</td>
<td>ABR (click)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sinha et al., 2010</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>SG: 30 healthy individuals</td>
<td>SG: 18–25 yr</td>
<td>Indian</td>
<td>PTA, SA, and immittance</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Akhoun et al., 2008a (clinical)</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>SG: 23 healthy individuals</td>
<td>SG: 19–48 yr (24)</td>
<td>French</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Akhoun et al., 2008b (journal)</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>SG: 6 unilateral impaired individuals, CG: 6 normal hearing individuals (3 female)</td>
<td>SG: 27–63 yr (51±12), CG: 19–27 yr (21±5.0)</td>
<td>French</td>
<td>PTA</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Song et al., 2006</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>SG: 119 individuals with LP, CG: 115 individuals with NL</td>
<td>SG: 8–12 yr</td>
<td>English</td>
<td>PTA, IQ scores measured with BCRS or TONI</td>
<td>ABR (Click), PTB, AP, LC, MW, Cross-Out, WA, WRAT, CTOPP</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


The aging process from a speech ABR perspective has been assessed by Mamo et al. [20]. Elderly subjects were found to have a reduced neural synchronicity to complex acoustic stimuli, such as speech, especially when the speech perception occurred in noisy environments. The ability to encode speech sounds in noise is related to the ability to process temporal aspects of speech. Speech ABR studies describe changes in neural morphology and measure the time delay of neural responses [21,22]. In addition, the 2013 study by Clinard et al. [23] on elderly subjects reported changes in the coding of speech sounds in the auditory brainstem. Interestingly, evaluation of hearing thresholds using pure tone audiometry did not detect any change. The data from this study suggests that electrophysiological tools can monitor the effects of aging on the central auditory system, and perhaps even predict future decline.

The association between hearing loss and speech ABR has been facilitated by technological advances in modern hearing aids, which enable new assessment procedures to
be undertaken. In the 2015 study by Bellier et al. [24], 4 normal hearing adults were evaluated in two modalities: (i) with speech stimuli wirelessly transmitted to the hearing aid; or (ii) by using insert earphones. The data show that the auditory stimulation with hearing aids generates a speech ABR response of high quality, free of artifacts. The data suggest that important information provided by speech ABR can assist the hearing aid fitting process. It may be that a similar protocol could be applied to cochlear implants in order to facilitate the adjustment of electrode mapping and in neural response telemetry (NRT) measurements.

The largest fraction of the studies (33.3%) were performed with native English speakers, which is explained by the fact that Dr Kraus, the leading researcher and creator of the speech stimulus, did their work at Northwestern University, USA. However, wide dissemination of findings in international journals has prompted other researchers to evaluate native speakers of eight different nationalities and languages: Arabic, Brazilian Portuguese, English-UK, French, Greek, Japanese, Persian, and Portuguese. A good correlation with the findings with standard US-English-speaking individuals has been reported (see Table 1 for references).

In the preliminary evaluations related to the selection of participating subjects, different clinical procedures have been followed. Pure tone audiometry has been the most common, used in 95.2% of articles, and in 23.8% of the papers was the only audiological test applied.

In terms of additional evaluating procedures, different trends are apparent. In the majority of papers (47.6%), another clinical procedure was used to evaluate the results of speech ABR; in 38.1% of the papers speech ABR appeared to be the only audiological procedure; and in 14.3% speech ABR was accompanied by click ABR. The papers of Elkabariti et al. [17] and Song et al. [16] suggest that there is a difference in ABR responses when click and speech stimuli are used. The data from these studies shows that only verbal stimuli are able to generate ABR responses which can be used to identify neural changes in the brainstem of individuals with epilepsy and learning difficulties. The 2011 article of Rana et al. [3] suggests that a protocol based on transiently evoked otoacoustic emissions (TEOAEs) and speech ABR makes the screening-test/battery more sensitive; it can result in a more accurate diagnosis as well as identify the location of possible hearing disorders.

In order to improve the quality and efficiency of the speech ABR procedure, other syllables, apart from the stimulus /da/, are being developed, and are usually composed of consonant–vowel pairs. The new protocols include bi-syllabic stimuli and various modalities for stimulation such as monaural or binaural presentations [12,21,25,26]. Ahadi et al. [25] and Anderson et al. [21] found that the response time of speech ABR is not associated with the stimulus presentation mode, and that binaural stimulation produces more robust neural responses. Anderson et al. report that the ABR response to binaural presentation in noise was degraded in comparison to presentations in quiet. Kouni et al. [12], working with the Greek-speaking subjects, used the two-syllable stimulus /baba/ (corresponding to the word ‘daddy’ in English). Akhoun et al. [26] also noted that the /ba/ syllable is a good one for high quality speech ABR recordings. Neurophysiological responses obtained with the syllable /ba/ are similar to those obtained with the syllable /da/ in terms of transients and sustained portions (consonant and vowel).

A number of papers have analyzed the reliability of speech ABR responses in test/retest scenarios, both in young normal adults and in normal and pathological children, and this work has demonstrated the stability and replicability of neural responses [27,28]. In fact, speech ABR observed in groups of normal and pathological children has identified parameters which contribute to good sensitivity and specificity [14].

The conclusions reached by surveying the literature are that speech ABR is an objective assessment tool for investigating auditory function, and can be applied to different populations and age groups, irrespective of the attention of the individual. It has good clinical applicability and effectiveness, giving reliable answers. In addition, because the stimulus employed in speech ABR appears to have universal representation, the speech encoding process in speakers of different languages can be investigated, where it seems to provide good diagnostic power [29–31].

However, to better understand the auditory system, the importance of correlating speech ABR findings with other hearing assessment methods was highlighted. Further evaluations through TEOAEs or click ABRs [3] suggest that only verbal stimuli are able to identify neural changes in the brainstem region in individuals with disorders [16,17,19]. In the case of elderly patients, assessments using speech ABR and tone burst audiometry are effective in monitoring the aging process, whereas audiometry alone cannot identify these changes [23]. Speech ABR can be used to test auditory processing in school age children [28], and in the differential diagnosis and early identification of children at risk of learning problems [12]. There are promising opportunities for monitoring children with a family history of reading difficulties [19], and in understanding how perception of speech in noise occurs [21,23]. There is now good agreement on the steps required for assessment and reassessment [27,28], as well as how to ensure adequate sensitivity and specificity [14].

Finally, it is worth emphasizing one aspect of the recent study by Bellier et al. [24] which presented ABR speech stimuli through hearing aids. This provides a new tool in the adaptation and selection of these devices, ensuring a better quality of life for patients. Therefore, it is important to further elucidate the peculiarities and variables in speech ABR responses.

Conclusions

Analysis of the literature shows that the subjects most studied through the speech ABR have been young adults and/or healthy adults. In terms of clinical applications, the favourable aspects of speech ABR are that it is objective, fast, and can be applied from early childhood. It is equally effective in different languages, and can provide differential diagnoses of diseases with similar symptoms.
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